

Non-regular corecursive streams

Pietro Barbieri

Joint work with: Davide Ancona and Elena Zucca

DIBRIS, University of Genova

T-LADIES kick-off meeting

July 6-7, 2022

Problem description

(Conceptually) infinite structures are hard to manage

E.g.: streams in IoT contexts, infinite trees, ...

Problem description

(Conceptually) infinite structures are hard to manage

E.g.: streams in IoT contexts, infinite trees, ...

Main issues

- Representation

Problem description

(Conceptually) infinite structures are hard to manage

E.g.: streams in IoT contexts, infinite trees, ...

Main issues

- Representation
- Manipulation

Problem description

(Conceptually) infinite structures are hard to manage

E.g.: streams in IoT contexts, infinite trees, ...

Main issues

- Representation
- Manipulation
- Identification of ill-formed definitions

Aim of the work

Design a calculus to:

- Finitely represent infinite streams

Aim of the work

Design a calculus to:

- Finitely represent infinite streams
- Study properties of entire streams

Aim of the work

Design a calculus to:

- Finitely represent infinite streams
- Study properties of entire streams
- Statically check the correctness of stream definitions

Aim of the work

Design a calculus to:

- Finitely represent infinite streams
- Study properties of entire streams
- Statically check the correctness of stream definitions

Possible application: testing of IoT systems

- Generation of complex streams

Aim of the work

Design a calculus to:

- Finitely represent infinite streams
- Study properties of entire streams
- Statically check the correctness of stream definitions

Possible application: testing of IoT systems

- Generation of complex streams
- Possibility of relying on common stream processing functions

State of the art

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`
 - `from n = n:from(n+1)`

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`
 - `from n = n:from(n+1)`
- Operations that inspect the whole structure **diverge**

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`
 - `from n = n:from(n+1)`
- Operations that inspect the whole structure **diverge**
 - `one_two == one_two`

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`
 - `from n = n:from(n+1)`
- Operations that inspect the whole structure **diverge**
 - `one_two == one_two`
- Moreover, ill-formed definitions allowed:

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`
 - `from n = n:from(n+1)`
- Operations that inspect the whole structure **diverge**
 - `one_two == one_two`
- Moreover, ill-formed definitions allowed:
 - `bad_stream = bad_stream`

State of the art: lazy evaluation

- Well-established solution for data stream generation and processing
- Haskell examples:
 - `one_two = 1:2:one_two`
 - `from n = n:from(n+1)`
- Operations that inspect the whole structure **diverge**
 - `one_two == one_two`
- Moreover, ill-formed definitions allowed:
 - `bad_stream = bad_stream`
- **Well-definedness** of streams **not decidable** in Haskell

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- Infinite streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- **Infinite** streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- Infinite streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- Infinite streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:
 - Execution keeps track of pending function calls

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- Infinite streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:
 - Execution keeps track of pending function calls
 - Non-termination avoided

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- **Infinite** streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:
 - Execution keeps track of pending function calls
 - Non-termination avoided
- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow x = 1:2:x$

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- **Infinite** streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:
 - Execution keeps track of pending function calls
 - Non-termination avoided
- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow x = 1:2:x$
- $\text{one_two}() == \text{one_two}() \longrightarrow \text{true}$

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- **Infinite** streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:
 - Execution keeps track of pending function calls
 - Non-termination avoided
- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow x = 1:2:x$
- $\text{one_two}() == \text{one_two}() \longrightarrow \text{true}$
- However, fails to model **non-regular** streams

State of the art: Regular corecursion

- **Infinite** streams **finitely** represented by sets of equations **built only on the stream constructor**
- **Regular** (cyclic) streams are supported
- Functions are **regularly corecursive**:
 - Execution keeps track of pending function calls
 - Non-termination avoided
- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow x = 1:2:x$
- $\text{one_two}() == \text{one_two}() \longrightarrow \text{true}$
- However, fails to model **non-regular** streams
 - No value for $\text{from}(\emptyset)$

Non-regular corecursive streams

Our approach

- Keeps the benefits of regular corecursion

Our approach

- Keeps the benefits of regular corecursion
- Functions can also return **non-regular** streams

Our approach

- Keeps the benefits of regular corecursion
- Functions can also return **non-regular** streams
 - $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$
 $\text{from}(n)=n:(\text{from}(n)[+]\text{repeat}(1))$

pointwise addition $[+]$ on streams allowed in equations similarly as the stream constructor $_:_$

Our approach

- Keeps the benefits of regular corecursion
- Functions can also return **non-regular** streams

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$
 $\text{from}(n)=n:(\text{from}(n)[+]\text{repeat}(1))$

pointwise addition $[+]$ on streams allowed in equations similarly as the stream constructor $_: _$

- Decidable procedure to check whether a stream is **well-defined**

Our approach

- Keeps the benefits of regular corecursion
- Functions can also return **non-regular** streams

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$
 $\text{from}(n)=n:(\text{from}(n)[+]\text{repeat}(1))$

pointwise addition $[+]$ on streams allowed in equations similarly as the stream constructor $_: _$

- Decidable procedure to check whether a stream is **well-defined**
- Only well-defined streams accepted at runtime

Our approach

- Keeps the benefits of regular corecursion
- Functions can also return **non-regular** streams
 - $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$
 $\text{from}(n)=n:(\text{from}(n)[+]\text{repeat}(1))$
pointwise addition $[+]$ on streams allowed in equations similarly as the stream constructor $_: _$
- Decidable procedure to check whether a stream is **well-defined**
- Only well-defined streams accepted at runtime
- Decidable procedure to check the **equality** of two streams

Syntax of the calculus

\overline{fd} ::= $fd_1 \dots fd_n$
 fd ::= $f(\overline{x}) = se$
 e ::= $se \mid ne \mid be$
 se ::= $x \mid \text{if } be \text{ then } se_1 \text{ else } se_2 \mid ne : se \mid se^\wedge \mid se_1 \text{ op } se_2 \mid f(\overline{e})$
 ne ::= $x \mid se(ne) \mid ne_1 \text{ nop } ne_2 \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid \dots$
 be ::= $x \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \dots$
 op ::= $[nop] \mid \parallel$
 nop ::= $+ \mid - \mid * \mid /$

- Program = sequence of mutually recursive function declarations
- Functions can only return streams
- Expressions can be: streams, numeric values, booleans

Simple examples

- `one_two() = 1:2:one_two()`

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}()) \longrightarrow (x[+]y, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x, y \mapsto 1:y\})$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}()) \longrightarrow (x[+]y, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x, y \mapsto 1:y\})$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}())(0)$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}()) \longrightarrow (x[+]y, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x, y \mapsto 1:y\})$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}())(0) \longrightarrow (x[+]y)(0)$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}()) \longrightarrow (x[+]y, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x, y \mapsto 1:y\})$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}())(0) \longrightarrow (x[+]y)(0) \longrightarrow x(0)+y(0)$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}()) \longrightarrow (x[+]y, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x, y \mapsto 1:y\})$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}())(\emptyset) \longrightarrow (x[+]y)(\emptyset) \longrightarrow x(\emptyset)+y(\emptyset) \longrightarrow (1:2:x)(\emptyset)+(1:y)(\emptyset)$

Simple examples

- $\text{one_two}() = 1:2:\text{one_two}()$

$\text{one_two}() \longrightarrow (x, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x\})$

- $\text{repeat}(n) = n:\text{repeat}(n)$

$\text{repeat}(1) \longrightarrow (y, \{y \mapsto 1:y\})$

- $\text{incr}(s) = s[+]\text{repeat}(1)$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}()) \longrightarrow (x[+]y, \{x \mapsto 1:2:x, y \mapsto 1:y\})$

$\text{incr}(\text{one_two}())(0) \longrightarrow (x[+]y)(0) \longrightarrow x(0)+y(0) \longrightarrow$
 $(1:2:x)(0)+(1:y)(0) \longrightarrow 2$

Main ingredients of the calculus:

- Operational semantics: evaluation keeps track of **already considered** function calls, streams represented in a **finite way** [AnconaBarbieriZucca@ICTCS21]

Main ingredients of the calculus:

- Operational semantics: evaluation keeps track of **already considered** function calls, streams represented in a **finite way** [AnconaBarbieriZucca@ICTCS21]
- Well-definedness check to guarantee **safe** access to streams [AnconaBarbieriZucca@FLOPS22], [Submitted journal paper]

Main ingredients of the calculus:

- Operational semantics: evaluation keeps track of **already considered** function calls, streams represented in a **finite way** [AnconaBarbieriZucca@ICTCS21]
- Well-definedness check to guarantee **safe** access to streams [AnconaBarbieriZucca@FLOPS22], [Submitted journal paper]
- **Decidable** procedure to check the equality of two streams [AnconaBarbieriZucca@ICTCS22], [Ongoing work]

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated
 - $\rho ::= x_1 \mapsto s_1 \dots x_n \mapsto s_n$ environment

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated
 - $\rho ::= x_1 \mapsto s_1 \dots x_n \mapsto s_n$ environment
 - $\tau ::= f_1(\bar{v}_1) \mapsto x_1 \dots f_n(\bar{v}_n) \mapsto x_n$ call trace

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated
 - $\rho ::= x_1 \mapsto s_1 \dots x_n \mapsto s_n$ environment
 - $\tau ::= f_1(\bar{v}_1) \mapsto x_1 \dots f_n(\bar{v}_n) \mapsto x_n$ call trace
 - (v, ρ') result

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated
 - $\rho ::= x_1 \mapsto s_1 \dots x_n \mapsto s_n$ environment
 - $\tau ::= f_1(\bar{v}_1) \mapsto x_1 \dots f_n(\bar{v}_n) \mapsto x_n$ call trace
 - (v, ρ') result
- Values:

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated
 - $\rho ::= x_1 \mapsto s_1 \dots x_n \mapsto s_n$ environment
 - $\tau ::= f_1(\bar{v}_1) \mapsto x_1 \dots f_n(\bar{v}_n) \mapsto x_n$ call trace
 - (v, ρ') result
- Values:
 - $v ::= s \mid n \mid b$ value
 - $s ::= x \mid n : s \mid s^\wedge \mid s_1[op]s_2$ (open) stream value

Semantics

- Shape of the judgment: $e, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho')$
 - e expression to be evaluated
 - $\rho ::= x_1 \mapsto s_1 \dots x_n \mapsto s_n$ environment
 - $\tau ::= f_1(\bar{v}_1) \mapsto x_1 \dots f_n(\bar{v}_n) \mapsto x_n$ call trace
 - (v, ρ') result
- Values:
 - $v ::= s \mid n \mid b$ value
 - $s ::= x \mid n : s \mid s^\wedge \mid s_1[op]s_2$ (open) stream value
 - $n ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid \dots$ index, numeric value
 - $b ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false}$ boolean value

Advanced examples

Examples: non-regular streams

`nat() = 0:(nat()[+]repeat(1))`

- stream of natural numbers

Examples: non-regular streams

```
nat() = 0:(nat()[+]repeat(1))
```

- stream of natural numbers

```
nat_to_pow(n) = if n <= 0 then repeat(1)  
               else nat_to_pow(n-1)[*]nat()
```

- $\text{nat_to_pow}(n)(x) = x^n$

Examples: non-regular streams

`nat() = 0:(nat()[+]repeat(1))`

- stream of natural numbers

`nat_to_pow(n) = if n <= 0 then repeat(1)
else nat_to_pow(n-1)[*]nat()`

- `nat_to_pow(n)(x) = xn`

`pow(n) = 1:(repeat(n)[*]pow(n))`

- `pow(n)(x) = nx`

Examples: non-regular streams

`nat() = 0:(nat()[+]repeat(1))`

- stream of natural numbers

`nat_to_pow(n) = if n <= 0 then repeat(1)
else nat_to_pow(n-1)[*]nat()`

- `nat_to_pow(n)(x) = xn`

`pow(n) = 1:(repeat(n)[*]pow(n))`

- `pow(n)(x) = nx`

`fact() = 1:((nat()[+]repeat(1))[*]fact())`

- factorial

Examples: non-regular streams

`nat() = 0:(nat()[+]repeat(1))`

- stream of natural numbers

`nat_to_pow(n) = if n <= 0 then repeat(1)
else nat_to_pow(n-1)[*]nat()`

- `nat_to_pow(n)(x) = xn`

`pow(n) = 1:(repeat(n)[*]pow(n))`

- `pow(n)(x) = nx`

`fact() = 1:((nat()[+]repeat(1))[*]fact())`

- factorial

`fib() = 0:1:(fib()[+]fib()^)`

- stream of Fibonacci numbers

Examples: common functions on streams

$\text{sum}(s) = s(0) : (s^+[+] \text{sum}(s))$

Examples: common functions on streams

$\text{sum}(s) = s(0) : (s^+[+] \text{sum}(s))$

- stream of partial sums of the first $i+1$ elements of s
- $\text{sum}(s)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i s(k)$

Examples: common functions on streams

`sum(s) = s(0):(s^[+]sum(s))`

- stream of partial sums of the first $i+1$ elements of s
- $\text{sum}(s)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i s(k)$

`sum_expn(n) = sum(pow(n)[/]fact())`

Examples: common functions on streams

$\text{sum}(s) = s(0) : (s^+[+] \text{sum}(s))$

- stream of partial sums of the first $i+1$ elements of s
- $\text{sum}(s)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i s(k)$

$\text{sum_expn}(n) = \text{sum}(\text{pow}(n)[/] \text{fact}())$

- stream of all terms of the Taylor series of the exponential function
- $\text{sum_expn}(n)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{n^k}{k!} = 1 + n + \frac{n^2}{2!} + \frac{n^3}{3!} + \frac{n^4}{4!} + \cdots + \frac{n^i}{i!}$

Examples: common functions on streams

$\text{sum}(s) = s(0) : (s^+[+] \text{sum}(s))$

- stream of partial sums of the first $i+1$ elements of s
- $\text{sum}(s)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i s(k)$

$\text{sum_expn}(n) = \text{sum}(\text{pow}(n)[/] \text{fact}())$

- stream of all terms of the Taylor series of the exponential function
- $\text{sum_expn}(n)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{n^k}{k!} = 1 + n + \frac{n^2}{2!} + \frac{n^3}{3!} + \frac{n^4}{4!} + \dots + \frac{n^i}{i!}$

$\text{aggr}(n, s) = \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then repeat}(\emptyset)$
 $\text{else } s^+[+] \text{aggr}(n-1, s^{\wedge})$

- $\text{aggr}(3, s) = s'$ s.t. $s'(i) = s(i) + s(i+1) + s(i+2)$

Examples: common functions on streams

$\text{sum}(s) = s(0) : (s^+ [+] \text{sum}(s))$

- stream of partial sums of the first $i+1$ elements of s
- $\text{sum}(s)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i s(k)$

$\text{sum_expn}(n) = \text{sum}(\text{pow}(n) [/] \text{fact}())$

- stream of all terms of the Taylor series of the exponential function
- $\text{sum_expn}(n)(i) = \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{n^k}{k!} = 1 + n + \frac{n^2}{2!} + \frac{n^3}{3!} + \frac{n^4}{4!} + \dots + \frac{n^i}{i!}$

$\text{aggr}(n, s) = \text{if } n \leq 0 \text{ then repeat}(\emptyset)$
 $\text{else } s^+ [+] \text{aggr}(n-1, s^+)$

- $\text{aggr}(3, s) = s'$ s.t. $s'(i) = s(i) + s(i+1) + s(i+2)$

$\text{avg}(n, s) = \text{aggr}(n, s) [/] \text{repeat}(n)$

- stream of average values of s in the window of length n

Well-definedness of streams

Well-definedness

Definition

Well-defined environment ρ : for each $x \in \text{dom}(\rho)$, access to element $x(k)$ terminates for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Well-definedness

Definition

Well-defined environment ρ : for each $x \in \text{dom}(\rho)$, access to element $x(k)$ terminates for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1:2:x \\ y \mapsto x^{\wedge} \end{array} \right\}$$

Well-definedness

Definition

Well-defined environment ρ : for each $x \in \rho$, access to element $x(k)$ terminates for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1:2:x \\ y \mapsto x^{\wedge} \end{array} \right\}$$

Well-definedness

Definition

Well-defined environment ρ : for each $x \in \rho$, access to element $x(k)$ terminates for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1:2:x \\ y \mapsto x^{\wedge} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1:y \\ y \mapsto y \end{array} \right\}$$

Well-definedness

Definition

Well-defined environment ρ : for each $x \in \rho$, access to element $x(k)$ terminates for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1:2:x \\ y \mapsto x^{\wedge} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1:y \\ y \mapsto y \end{array} \right\}$$

Equality of streams

Equality

- Stream operators in equations = non-trivial equational theory

Equality

- Stream operators in equations = non-trivial equational theory
- Syntactic equality between cyclic terms provides a **too weak notion**

Equality

- Stream operators in equations = non-trivial equational theory
- Syntactic equality between cyclic terms provides a **too weak notion**

Semantic definition

$s_1 \equiv s_2$ iff, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1(k) = s_2(k)$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1 : x\}$

$$x \equiv x^{\wedge}$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1 : x\}$

$$x \equiv x^{\wedge}$$

↓

$$x \equiv (1 : x)^{\wedge}$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1 : x\}$

$$x \equiv x^{\wedge}$$

↓

$$x \equiv (1 : x)^{\wedge}$$

↓

$$x \equiv x$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1:x, y \mapsto 1:1:y\}$

$$x \equiv y$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1:x, y \mapsto 1:1:y\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} x \equiv y \\ \downarrow \\ 1:x \equiv 1:1:y \end{array}$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1:x, y \mapsto 1:1:y\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} x \equiv y \\ \downarrow \\ 1:x \equiv 1:1:y \\ \downarrow \\ x \equiv 1:y \end{array}$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1:x, y \mapsto 1:1:y\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} x \equiv y \\ \downarrow \\ 1:x \equiv 1:1:y \\ \downarrow \\ x \equiv 1:y \\ \downarrow \\ 1:x \equiv 1:y \end{array}$$

An algorithm: examples

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 1:x, y \mapsto 1:1:y\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} x \equiv y \\ \downarrow \\ 1:x \equiv 1:1:y \\ \downarrow \\ x \equiv 1:y \\ \downarrow \\ 1:x \equiv 1:y \\ \downarrow \\ x \equiv y \end{array}$$

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 1.1 (Adaptation)

- Only streams of naturals with arithmetic operators considered in the calculus

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 1.1 (Adaptation)

- Only streams of naturals with arithmetic operators considered in the calculus

Aims:

- Make the calculus parametric

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 1.1 (Adaptation)

- Only streams of naturals with arithmetic operators considered in the calculus

Aims:

- Make the calculus parametric
- Indeed, smoothly extending the approach to other data types (booleans, pairs, records, ...)

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 1.1 (Adaptation)

- Only streams of naturals with arithmetic operators considered in the calculus

Aims:

- Make the calculus parametric
- Indeed, smoothly extending the approach to other data types (booleans, pairs, records, ...)
- e.g., an `if_then_else_` stream operator whose first argument is a stream of booleans

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 3.2 (Integration of static and dynamic verification)

- Untyped calculus

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 3.2 (Integration of static and dynamic verification)

- Untyped calculus
- The well-definedness check takes place at runtime

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 3.2 (Integration of static and dynamic verification)

- Untyped calculus
- The well-definedness check takes place at runtime

Aims:

- Design a static type system to filter out early errors

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 3.2 (Integration of static and dynamic verification)

- Untyped calculus
- The well-definedness check takes place at runtime

Aims:

- Design a static type system to filter out early errors
- Reduce runtime overhead identifying ill-formed definitions ahead

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 4.4 (Application scenarios)

- Possibility to generate and manipulate a wide variety of streams

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 4.4 (Application scenarios)

- Possibility to generate and manipulate a wide variety of streams
- IoT relevant operations supported

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 4.4 (Application scenarios)

- Possibility to generate and manipulate a wide variety of streams
- IoT relevant operations supported

Aims:

- Integration with stream programming:
- Stream generation (sink streams) already supported

Relevant tasks and future work

Task 4.4 (Application scenarios)

- Possibility to generate and manipulate a wide variety of streams
- IoT relevant operations supported

Aims:

- Integration with stream programming:
- Stream generation (sink streams) already supported
- Source streams, pipeline to be investigated

Thank You!

Extras

Examples

Example of equality

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto \emptyset:1:(x \parallel x), y \mapsto \emptyset:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}}) \}$

$$\emptyset:1:(x \parallel x) \equiv \emptyset:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

Example of equality

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto \emptyset:1:(x \parallel x), y \mapsto \emptyset:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}}) \}$

$$\emptyset:1:(x \parallel x) \equiv \emptyset:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow^*

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv ((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

Example of equality

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 0:1:(x \parallel x), y \mapsto 0:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}}) \}$

$$0:1:(x \parallel x) \equiv 0:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow^*

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv ((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv (y \parallel y)$$

Example of equality

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 0:1:(x \parallel x), y \mapsto 0:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}}) \}$

$$0:1:(x \parallel x) \equiv 0:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow^*

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv ((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv (y \parallel y)$$

\downarrow

$$x \equiv y$$

Example of equality

Environment $\rho = \{x \mapsto 0:1:(x \parallel x), y \mapsto 0:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}}) \}$

$$0:1:(x \parallel x) \equiv 0:1:((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow^*

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv ((2:y) \parallel y^{\hat{}})$$

\downarrow

$$(x \parallel x) \equiv (y \parallel y)$$

\downarrow

$$x \equiv y$$

Semantics of the calculus

Rules (1)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(VAL)} \\ \hline v, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v, \rho) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(IF-T)} \\ \frac{be, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (\text{true}, \rho) \quad se_1, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')}{\text{if } be \text{ then } se_1 \text{ else } se_2, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(IF-F)} \\ \frac{be, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (\text{false}, \rho) \quad se_2, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')}{\text{if } be \text{ then } se_1 \text{ else } se_2, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(CONS)} \\ \frac{ne, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (n, \rho) \quad se, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')}{ne : se, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (n : s, \rho')} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(TAIL)} \\ \frac{se, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')}{se^{\wedge}, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s^{\wedge}, \rho')} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(OP)} \\ \frac{se_1, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s_1, \rho_1) \quad se_2, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s_2, \rho_2)}{se_1 \text{ op } se_2, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s_1 \text{ op } s_2, \rho_1 \sqcup \rho_2)} \end{array}$$

Rules (2)

$$\text{(ARGS)} \frac{e_i, \rho, \tau \Downarrow (v_i, \rho_i) \quad \forall i \in 1..n \quad f(\bar{v}), \hat{\rho}, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')}{f(\bar{e}), \rho, \tau \Downarrow (s, \rho')}$$

$\bar{e} = e_1, \dots, e_n$ not of shape \bar{v}
 $\bar{v} = v_1, \dots, v_n$
 $\hat{\rho} = \bigsqcup_{i \in 1..n} \rho_i$

$$\text{(INVK)} \frac{se[\bar{v}/\bar{x}], \rho, \tau \{f(\bar{v}) \mapsto x\} \Downarrow (s, \rho')}{f(\bar{v}), \rho, \tau \Downarrow (x, \rho' \{x \mapsto s\})}$$

$f(\bar{v}) \notin \text{dom}(\tau)$
 x fresh
 $fbody(f) = (\bar{x}, se)$
 $wd(\rho', x, s)$

$$\text{(COREC)} \frac{}{f(\bar{v}), \rho, \tau \Downarrow (x, \rho)} \quad \tau(f(\bar{v})) = x$$

Well-definedness

Well-definedness: an algorithm

$m ::= x_1 \mapsto n_1 \dots x_n \mapsto n_k \quad (n \geq 0)$ map from variables to natural numbers

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(MAIN)} \frac{\text{wd}_{\rho\{x \mapsto v\}}(x, \emptyset)}{\text{wd}(\rho, x, v)} \quad \text{(WD-VAR)} \frac{\text{wd}_{\rho}(\rho(x), m\{x \mapsto 0\})}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(x, m)} \quad x \notin \text{dom}(m) \quad \text{(WD-CONS)} \frac{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s, m^{+1})}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(n : s, m)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(WD-COREC)} \frac{}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(x, m)} \quad x \in \text{dom}(m) \quad m(x) > 0 \quad \text{(WD-FV)} \frac{}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(x, m)} \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\rho) \quad \text{(WD-TAIL)} \frac{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s, m^{-1})}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s^{\wedge}, m)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(WD-NOP)} \frac{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s_1, m) \quad \text{wd}_{\rho}(s_2, m)}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s_1[op]s_2, m)} \quad \text{(WD-||)} \frac{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s_1, m) \quad \text{wd}_{\rho}(s_2, m^{+1})}{\text{wd}_{\rho}(s_1 || s_2, m)} \end{array}$$

Idea: more constructors than tail operators traversed when a cyclic reference is found

On well-definedness

- `zeros() = repeat(0)[*] zeros()`
- Not well-defined operationally but admits a unique solution

On well-definedness

- A closed **result** (s, ρ) is **well-defined** if it denotes a unique stream
- A closed **environment** ρ is well-defined if, for each $x \in \text{dom}(\rho)$, (x, ρ) is well-defined.
- = the corresponding set of equations admits a unique solution
 - $\{x \mapsto 1 : x\}$ well-defined
 - $\{x \mapsto x\}$ **not** well-defined
 - $\{x \mapsto x[+]y, y \mapsto 1 : y\}$ **not** well-defined